

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,776	OGA, TAKAYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor K. Hwang	3764	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Victor K. Hwang. (3) _____.

(2) Leighton K. Chong. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Cary E. O'Connor
Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization for Examiner's amendment to clarify that the path of the chair and that the sliding members are upwardly biased by the lifting mechanism; to correct typographical errors in the claims and specification; and agreement to correct typographical errors in the drawings..